

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, | : | No. 612 MAL 2025 |
| | : | |
| Respondent | : | |
| | : | |
| v. | : | Petition for Allowance of Appeal |
| | : | from the Order of the Superior |
| | : | Court |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| TERRY LYNDELL STONEY, | : | |
| | : | |
| Petitioner | : | |

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 21st day of April, 2026, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

Did the Superior Court erroneously expand the scope of Commonwealth v. Mathis, in holding that county probation officers may seize an individual not on supervision while assisting police officers when the seizure was not part of a probation officer’s normal supervisory duty of offenders?